arXiv:1304.4582v1 [hep-th] 16 Apr 2013

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ super sinh-Gordon model in the half line: Breather solutions

A.R. Aguirre[1]♣, J.F. Gomes[2]♣, L.H. Ymai[3]♠and A.H. Zimerman[4]♣

♣ Instituto de Física Teórica - IFT/UNESP,
Rua Doutor Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, Bloco II, CEP 01140-070,
São Paulo-SP, Brasil.

♠ Universidade Federal do Pampa - UNIPAMPA,
Travessa 45, 1650, Bairro Malafaia, CEP 96413-170, Bagé-RS, Brasil.

Abstract

We examine the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super sinh-Gordon (SShG) model restricted into the half line through a reduction from the defect SShG model. The Bäcklund transformations are employed to generate one-, two- and three-soliton solutions as well as a class of breathers solution for this model. The parameters of such classical solutions are shown to satisfy some contraints in order to preserve both integrability and supersymmetry properties of the original bulk theory. Additionally, previous results are recovered when performing the purely bosonic limit.

---

[1] aleroagu@ift.unesp.br
[2] jfg@ift.unesp.br
[3] leandro.ymai@unipampa.edu.br
[4] zimerman@ift.unesp.br

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Review of defect SShG model **4**
2.1 On-shell supersymmetry of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ defect SShG 5

3 Half-line limiting procedure **6**
3.1 Obtaining the boundary sinh-Gordon potential 6
3.2 Deriving the boundary fermionic free field theory - Ising Model 7
3.3 Two-parametric boundary SShG model 8

4 Bäcklund Solutions **11**

5 Classical analysis of the solutions in the half line **12**
5.1 One-soliton solution 12
5.2 Breather Solutions 13
5.3 Method of Images: Bosonic part 15
5.4 Method of Images: Fermionic part 17

6 Conclusions **20**

A Three-soliton solution **20**

1 Introduction

The study of nonlinear classical integrable field theories with boundaries has started with the work of Sklyanin [1, 2], Tarasov [3], and Habibullin [4, 5, 6] within the context of the sine-Gordon model. On the other hand, field theories in the half line may be formulated by imposing appropriated integrable boundary conditions, for instance, at the origin $x = 0$. In [3, 4, 5, 6] the Bäcklund transformation arises as an important tool to consider the structure of the model. In the Lagrangian framework, this is equivalent to impose a suitable boundary potential preserving integrability. The simplest example is the boundary sinh (sine)-Gordon model [7], whose Lagrangian density can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \theta(-x)\left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi)^2 + 4m^2\cosh(2\phi)\right] + \delta(x)\Big[\Lambda\cosh\left(\phi - \phi_0\right)\Big]. \tag{1.1}$$

In [7] it was noticed that, in this case, the most general boundary condition preserving integrability has two free parameters Λ and ϕ_0, namely,

$$\partial_x\phi\Big|_{x=0} = -\Lambda\sinh\left(\phi - \phi_0\right)\Big|_{x=0}. \tag{1.2}$$

Bowcock et al. [8] proposed a formulation in terms of Lax operators in the half line such that the boundary conditions derived in [7] would be reproduced.

In [9] Saleur, Skorik and Warner discussed the classical approach to the boundary sine-Gordon model by extending the method of images proposed by Cardy [10] and by making use of Bäcklund transformations in order to obtain the boundary conditions derived by Ghoshal and Zamolodchikov [7], as well as solutions to the problem in the half line.

The supersymmetric extension of the sinh-Gordon model restricted on the half-line has also been studied from the classical point of view of Inami et al. [11] and Nepomechie [12]. In [11] it was claimed that the combined constraints of integrability and supersymmetry do not allow any free parameters in the boundary potential. Nevertheless, some years later it was pointed out in [12] that the boundary super sinh-Gordon model actually has a two-parameter family of integrable boundary potentials taking into account the introduction of fermionic degrees of freedom.

More recently Bowcock et al. [13] derived the Bäcklund transformation through the study of defects in the bulk for the bosonic sine-Gordon and other models within the Lagrangian/Hamiltonian formulation. That ensures the integrability and henceforth modified conservation laws of the systems. The sine-Gordon model with Bäcklund defect at the origin is given by the Lagrangian density,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \theta(-x)\left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi_1)^2-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi_1)^2+V_1(\phi_1)\right]+\theta(x)\left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi_2)^2-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi_2)^2+V_2(\phi_2)\right]\\ &\quad+\delta(x)\left[\frac{1}{2}(\phi_2\partial_t\phi_1-\phi_1\partial_t\phi_2)+2m\left(\sigma\cosh(\phi_1+\phi_2)+\frac{1}{\sigma}\cosh(\phi_1-\phi_2)\right)\right], \qquad (1.3)\end{aligned}$$

where $V_p(\phi_p) = 4m^2\cosh(2\phi_p)$ with $p=1$ if $x<0$ and $p=2$ if $x>0$. In fact, these defect conditions turn out to be “frozen” Bäcklund transformations for the sinh-Gordon model. It is worth pointing out that alternative approaches have been provided in [14, 15, 16] to prove Liouville integrability for the defect sine-Gordon model which are based on the classical r-matrix language.

The extension of the Bäcklund formulation of these results to the supersymmetric sine-Gordon and Grassmanian models were already considered in [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Within the Bäcklund transformation context it appears natural to consider boundaries describing the half line by adapting the considerations in [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9].

In this paper, we formulate a free fermionic field theory and the $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric sinh-Gordon (SShG) model in the half line by extending the method of images and Bäcklund transformations. We construct explicit soliton and breather solutions for $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG. Also, we extend to the supersymmetric case the results obtained in [23], and consider the corresponding supersymmetric breather solutions. By taking a three-soliton solution with one static, we construct another class of supersymmetric breather solution, generalizing therefore the results of Saleur et al. [9].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the defect $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG model and present the supersymmetry transformations that leave the action invariant. In section 3 we discuss the half-line limiting procedure for the bosonic sine-Gordon and free fermionic theory. In this last case we reproduce the results of ref. [7] for the boundary Ising model. Next, we formulate the $\mathcal{N}=1$ two-parametric boundary SShG and show that our results coincide with those of ref. [12] when certain parameters are identified. In section 4 we present the Bäcklund solutions for one- and two-solitons and then derive the three-solitons solution. In section 5 we investigate one-soliton and breather solutions in the half line and derive constraints on the boundary parameters. In appendix A, we give the explictly form of the three-soliton solution for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG derived using Bäcklund transformations.

2 Review of defect SShG model

The $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG model in the presence of defects [17] describing bosonic ϕ_p, and fermionic $\psi_p, \bar{\psi}_p$, fields in the regions $x<0$ (corresponding to label $p=1$) and $x>0$ (corresponding to label $p=2$) respectively, can be described by the following Lagrangian density,[5]

$$\mathcal{L} = \theta(-x)\mathcal{L}_1 + \theta(x)\mathcal{L}_2 + \delta(x)\ \mathcal{L}_D, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_p &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi_p)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi_p)^2 + \bar{\psi}_p(\partial_t-\partial_x)\bar{\psi}_p + \psi_p(\partial_t+\partial_x)\psi_p + V_p(\phi_p) \\
&\quad + W_p(\phi_p,\psi_p,\bar{\psi}_p), \qquad (2.2)\\
\mathcal{L}_D &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_2\partial_t\phi_1 - \phi_1\partial_t\phi_2) - \psi_1\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2 + 2f_1\partial_t f_1 + B_0(\phi_1,\phi_2) \\
&\quad + B_1(\phi_1,\phi_2,\psi_1,\psi_2,\bar{\psi}_1,\bar{\psi}_2,f_1), \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

with the corresponding potentials given by

$$\begin{aligned}
V_p &= \cosh(2\phi_p), \qquad W_p = 4\bar{\psi}_p\psi_p\cosh(\phi_p), \qquad (2.4)\\
B_0 &= \sigma\cosh(\phi_1+\phi_2) + \frac{1}{\sigma}\cosh(\phi_1-\phi_2), \qquad (2.5)\\
B_1 &= -2\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\bar{\psi}_1+\bar{\psi}_2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\psi_1-\psi_2)\right], \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where B_0 and B_1 are the defect potentials. The equations of motion for the bulk are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x^2\phi_p - \partial_t^2\phi_p &= 2\sinh(2\phi_p) + 4\,\bar{\psi}_p\psi_p\sinh\phi_p,\\
(\partial_x-\partial_t)\bar{\psi}_p &= 2\,\psi_p\cosh\phi_p,\\
(\partial_x+\partial_t)\psi_p &= 2\,\bar{\psi}_p\cosh\phi_p, \qquad p=1,2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and the defect conditions at $x=0$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x\phi_1 - \partial_t\phi_2 &= -\frac{1}{\sigma}\sinh(\phi_1-\phi_2) - \sigma\sinh(\phi_1+\phi_2) + \sqrt{\sigma}\ \sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\bar{\psi}_1+\bar{\psi}_2)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\ \sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\psi_1-\psi_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

---

[5] For simplicity we have used $m=1/2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_x\phi_2 - \partial_t\phi_1 &= -\frac{1}{\sigma}\sinh(\phi_1-\phi_2) + \sigma\sinh(\phi_1+\phi_2) - \sqrt{\sigma}\,\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\bar{\psi}_1+\bar{\psi}_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\,\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\psi_1-\psi_2), \qquad (2.9) \\
\psi_1+\psi_2 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\,\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1, \qquad (2.10) \\
\bar{\psi}_1-\bar{\psi}_2 &= -2\sqrt{\sigma}\,\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1, \qquad (2.11) \\
\partial_t f_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2}\,\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right)(\bar{\psi}_1+\bar{\psi}_2) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma}}\,\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)(\psi_1-\psi_2). \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a fermionic degree of freedom f_1 at the boundary which anticommutes with the fields ψ_p and $\bar{\psi}_p$. Notice that adding and subtracting the two first defect conditions (2.8) and (2.9) we get the following relations at $x=0$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_z(\phi_1+\phi_2) &= -\frac{1}{\sigma}\sinh(\phi_1-\phi_2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\,\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\psi_1-\psi_2), \qquad (2.13) \\
\partial_{\bar{z}}(\phi_1-\phi_2) &= -\sigma\,\sinh(\phi_1+\phi_2) + \sqrt{\sigma}\,\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1(\bar{\psi}_1+\bar{\psi}_2), \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the light-cone coordinates $z = x - t$ and $\bar{z} = x + t$. In the general case where eqs. (2.13) and (2.14) held not only for $x = 0$, but for every x, these are exactly the Bäcklund transformations for the supersymmetric sinh-Gordon model [24].

2.1 On-shell supersymmetry of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ defect SShG

In the bulk, the supersymmetry transformations that leave the action of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG invariant are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\phi &= \varepsilon\,\psi + \bar{\varepsilon}\,\bar{\psi}, \qquad (2.15) \\
\delta\psi &= -\varepsilon\,\partial_z\phi + \bar{\varepsilon}\sinh\phi, \qquad (2.16) \\
\delta\bar{\psi} &= \bar{\varepsilon}\,\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi - \varepsilon\,\sinh\phi, \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we are using the light-cone coordinates $z, \bar{z}$, and ε, $\bar{\varepsilon}$ are the fermionic parameters. The corresponding conserved supercharges in the bulk theory are given by,

$$
Q_\varepsilon = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\,\left(2\psi\,\partial_z\phi + 2\bar{\psi}\sinh\phi\right), \qquad \bar{Q}_{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\,\left(2\bar{\psi}\,\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi + 2\psi\sinh\phi\right). \qquad (2.18)
$$

However, by considering the defect theory we found an additional contribution coming from the defect conditions at the origin. Then, the modified conserved supercharges take the form

$$
\mathcal{Q} = Q_\varepsilon + Q_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \bar{\mathcal{Q}} = \bar{Q}_{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \bar{Q}_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad (2.19)
$$

where

$$
Q_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\left[\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1\right]\Bigg|_{x=0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Q}_{\mathrm{D}} = 4\sqrt{\sigma}\left[\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right) f_1\right]\Bigg|_{x=0}. \qquad (2.20)
$$

Here, as a consequence of the supersymmetry transformations, we have used the corresponding supersymmetry transformation of the auxiliary fermionic field f_1 given by,

$$\delta f_1 \;=\; \varepsilon\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)\right]+\bar{\varepsilon}\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right)\right]. \tag{2.21}$$

Then, it worth noting that the fields not only can exchange momentum and energy with the defect as it was mentioned in [17], but also can exchange supercharge.

3 Half-line limiting procedure

3.1 Obtaining the boundary sinh-Gordon potential

Firstly, we consider the bosonic part of the defect potential B_0 given in (2.5),

$$B_0 \;=\; \sigma\cosh(\phi_1+\phi_2)+\frac{1}{\sigma}\cosh(\phi_1-\phi_2), \tag{3.1}$$

and we perform the half-line limit by taking ϕ_2 to be a constant k_0 at $x=0^+$. It is convenient to redefine the Bäcklund parameter as $\sigma=e^{-\eta}$, to obtain

$$\tilde{B}_0 \;=\; (2\cosh\eta\cosh k_0)\cosh\phi_1-2\,(\sinh\eta\sinh k_0)\sinh\phi_1. \tag{3.2}$$

This potential has exactly the form of the boundary potential for the sinh-Gordon model given in (1.1) if the following relations hold,

$$\Lambda\cosh\phi_0 \;=\; 2\cosh\eta\cosh k_0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Lambda\sinh\phi_0 \;=\; 2\sinh\eta\sinh k_0. \tag{3.4}$$

From these relations we immediately note that the purely bosonic part of the defect Lagrangian in (2.3) becomes the boundary potential in (1.1) for the sinh-Gordon model defined upon the half-line, namely,

$$\mathcal{L}_D\Big|_{\phi_2=k_0,\psi_2=\bar{\psi}_2=0} \;=\; \Lambda\cosh\left(\phi_1-\phi_0\right), \tag{3.5}$$

with the corresponding boundary condition,

$$\partial_x\phi_1\big|_{x=0} \;=\; -\Lambda\sinh\left(\phi_1-\phi_0\right), \tag{3.6}$$

where the parameters Λ and ϕ_0 are determined in terms of the Bäcklund parameter σ and the constant k_0 by the inverse relations of the (3.3) and (3.4), as follows

$$\Lambda^2 \;=\; \left(\sigma^2+\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)+2\cosh(2k_0), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\tanh\phi_0 \;=\; \left(\frac{1-\sigma^2}{1+\sigma^2}\right)\tanh k_0. \tag{3.8}$$

We can also notice that if we exploit the symmetry of the sinh-Gordon equation under $\phi \to \pm\phi$ when $x \to -x$, we could take $\phi_2(x) = \pm\phi_1(-x)$ and in this case we obtain the following boundary potential,

$$B_0 \;=\; \sigma^{\mp 1} + \sigma^{\pm 1}\,\cosh\left(2\phi_1\right)\big|_{x=0}, \tag{3.9}$$

with the boundary condition,

$$\partial_x\phi_1\big|_{x=0} \;=\; -\sigma^{\pm 1}\,\sinh\left(2\phi_1\right)\big|_{x=0}, \tag{3.10}$$

which corresponds to the usual boundary problem when $\phi_0 = 0$ or equivalently $k_0 = 0$. This fact is nothing more than the breaking of the discrete symmetry $\phi \to -\phi$ by the boundary potential. The properties of the solutions for the sinh-Gordon equation for a free $(\partial_x\phi_1\big|_{x=0} = 0)$ and a fixed $(\phi_1\big|_{x=0} = 0)$ boundary condition were already studied in [25].

3.2 Deriving the boundary fermionic free field theory - Ising Model

As it was discussed in [17], integrable defect fermionic free field theories can be considered when all the bosonic fields vanish in the Lagrangian density (2.1)-(2.3), namely,

$$\mathcal{L}_p \;=\; \bar{\psi}_p(\partial_t - \partial_x)\bar{\psi}_p + \psi_p(\partial_t + \partial_x)\psi_p + 4\bar{\psi}_p\psi_p, \qquad p = 1, 2, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_D \;=\; -\psi_1\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2 + 2f_1\partial_t f_1 + 2\left[\sqrt{\sigma}(\bar{\psi}_1 + \bar{\psi}_2) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\right] f_1. \tag{3.12}$$

Then, the fields equations in the regions $x > 0$ and respectively $x < 0$ are simply given by,

$$\left(\partial_x + \partial_t\right)\psi_p \;=\; 2\bar{\psi}_p, \qquad \left(\partial_x - \partial_t\right)\bar{\psi}_p = 2\psi_p, \qquad p = 1, 2, \tag{3.13}$$

and the defect conditions at $x = 0$ are given by

$$\psi_1 + \psi_2 \;=\; \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\,f_1, \qquad \bar{\psi}_1 - \bar{\psi}_2 \;=\; -2\sqrt{\sigma}\,f_1, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\partial_t f_1 \;=\; \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2}(\bar{\psi}_1 + \bar{\psi}_2) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2). \tag{3.15}$$

Now, we can notice that equations (3.13) are invariant under a duality transformation $\psi_p \to -\bar{\psi}_p$ and $\bar{\psi}_p \to \psi_p$ when $x \to -x$. So, we use this symmetry to reduce the defect theory to a suitable boundary theory. Here, we will perform the half-line limit taking $\psi_2(0^+, t) \to -\bar{\psi}_1(0^-, t)$ and $\bar{\psi}_2(0^+, t) \to \psi_1(0^-, t)$. Then, the defect Lagrangian (3.12) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_D \;=\; \psi_1(x)\bar{\psi}_1(0) - \bar{\psi}_1(x)\psi_1(0) + 2f_1(t)\partial_t f_1(t) + 2\Big(\sqrt{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\Big)(\psi_1(x) + \bar{\psi}_1(x))f_1(t), \tag{3.16}$$

with the corresponding boundary conditions,

$$(\psi_1 - \bar{\psi}_1)\bigg|_{x=0} \;=\; 2\left(\sqrt{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)f_1, \qquad \partial_t f_1 \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)(\psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_1)\bigg|_{x=0}, \tag{3.17}$$

which can be combined to obtain a more compact form of the boundary condition for the fermionic fields ψ_1 and $\bar{\psi}_1$, as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\psi_1-\bar{\psi}_1\right)\Big|_{x=0} \;=\; \left(\sqrt{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)^2\left(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1\right)\Big|_{x=0}. \tag{3.18}$$

These results are exactly the "boundary magnetic field" conditions for the Ising model derived in [7], where the non-zero external field h is related to the parameter σ, if we define

$$h \;=\; \left(\sqrt{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.17) we immediately can notice that $h=0$ or equivalently $\sigma=-1$ correspond to the "free" boundary condition, which is written as

$$\left(\psi_1-\bar{\psi}_1\right)\Big|_{x=0} \;=\; 0. \tag{3.20}$$

On the other hand, in the limit $|h|\to\infty$ or $|\sigma|\to\infty$ one recovers the "fixed" boundary condition, which becomes

$$\left(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1\right)\Big|_{x=0} \;=\; 0. \tag{3.21}$$

3.3 Two-parametric boundary SShG model

Now we are interested in performing the half-line limiting procedure on the $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG model. To do that, we first perform the limit $\phi_2(0^+,t)\to k_0$ for obtaining the boundary potential (1.1), and then to obtain the corresponding boundary fermionic free field theory we need to map $\psi_2(0^+,t)\to-\bar{\psi}_1(0^-,t)$ and $\bar{\psi}_2(0^+,t)\to\psi_1(0^-,t)$. So, by considering the part of the defect potential containing fermions, namely

$$B_1 \;=\; -2\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2}\right)f_1(\bar{\psi}_1+\bar{\psi}_2)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)f_1(\psi_1-\psi_2)\right], \tag{3.22}$$

and performing the limiting procedure, the Lagrangian (2.3) immediately becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_B \;=\;& \sigma\cosh\left(\phi_1(x)+k_0\right)+\frac{1}{\sigma}\cosh\left(\phi_1(x)-k_0\right)+\left(\psi_1(x)\bar{\psi}_1(0)-\bar{\psi}_1(x)\psi_1(0)\right)+2f_1\partial_t f_1\\ &+2\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1(x)+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1(x)-k_0}{2}\right)\right](\bar{\psi}_1(x)+\psi_1(x))f_1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where the parameters σ and k_0 still satisfy the relations (3.3) and (3.4). This boundary Lagrangian density gives the following boundary conditions at $x = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x\phi_1 &= -\sigma\sinh(\phi_1+k_0) - \frac{1}{\sigma}\sinh(\phi_1-k_0) - \sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)(\bar{\psi}_1+\psi_1)f_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)(\bar{\psi}_1+\psi_1)f_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\psi_1-\bar{\psi}_1 = 2\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right]f_1, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\partial_t f_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right](\bar{\psi}_1+\psi_1). \tag{3.26}$$

which can be rewritten by eliminating f_1 as follows,

$$\partial_x\phi_1 = -\sigma\sinh(\phi_1+k_0) - \frac{1}{\sigma}\sinh(\phi_1-k_0) - H(\phi_1)(\bar{\psi}_1\psi_1), \tag{3.27}$$

$$\partial_t(\psi_1-\bar{\psi}_1)\big|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{2}H(\phi_1)(\partial_t\phi_1)(\psi_1-\bar{\psi}_1)+h^2(\phi_1)(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1), \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$h(\phi_1) = \left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right], \tag{3.29}$$

$$H(\phi_1) = \left[\frac{\sigma\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)}{\sigma\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)}\right]. \tag{3.30}$$

By applying the supersymmetry transformations (2.15)–(2.17) with $\varepsilon = \bar{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\psi_1-\bar{\psi}_1) &\equiv \varepsilon\left[-(\partial_x\phi_1)+2\sinh\phi_1\right], \\ &= \left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right]\varepsilon(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1)f_1 + 2\,\varepsilon\, h(\phi_1)F_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Then, using eq.(3.24) we get

$$F_1 = \sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right). \tag{3.32}$$

It is worth noting that $F_1 = 2h'(\phi_1)$ with $h'(\phi_1) = \frac{dh(\phi_1)}{d\phi_1}$. Now, varying eq.(3.26), we immediately find for the lhs,

$$\delta\left(\partial_t f_1\right) = \varepsilon\,\partial_t\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\,h(\phi_1)(\partial_t\phi_1), \tag{3.33}$$

and from the rhs we get,

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2}h(\phi_1)(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1)\right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\,h(\phi_1)(\partial_t\phi_1), \tag{3.34}$$

This shows that the eq.(3.26) is invariant under the supersymmetry transformations. It remains to show that eq.(3.24) is also invariant. To do that, we consider the lhs of (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\left(\partial_x\phi_1\right) &= \varepsilon\,\partial_x(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1) = \varepsilon\left[\partial_t(\bar{\psi}_1-\psi_1)+2(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1)\cosh\phi_1\right]\\ &= -\varepsilon\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right](\partial_t\phi_1)f_1+2\varepsilon\left(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1\right)\cosh\phi_1\\ &\quad -\varepsilon\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right]^2\left(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1\right). \qquad (3.35)\end{aligned}$$

From the rhs of (3.24) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\partial_x\phi_1) &= -\varepsilon\left[\sigma\cosh\left(\phi_1+k_0\right)+\frac{1}{\sigma}\cosh\left(\phi_1-k_0\right)\right](\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1)\\ &\quad -\varepsilon\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right](\partial_t\phi_1)f_1\\ &\quad +\varepsilon\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right]^2(\psi_1+\bar{\psi}_1). \qquad (3.36)\end{aligned}$$

It is not so difficult to show that variations (3.35) and (3.36) are actually the same. Now, if we compute the boundary contribution to the supercharge, we find that the modified boundary supercharge can be written as $\hat{Q}=Q_++Q_B$, where

$$Q_+ = \int_{-\infty}^{0}dx\,\left[(\bar{\psi}_1-\psi_1)(\partial_x\phi_1-2\sinh\phi_1)+(\bar{\psi}_1+\psi_1)\partial_t\phi_1\right], \qquad (3.37)$$

and

$$Q_B = 4\left[\sqrt{\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1+k_0}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-k_0}{2}\right)\right]f_1. \qquad (3.38)$$

If we compare this result with eq.(2.20), we have that $Q_B=Q_D\big|_{\varepsilon=\bar{\varepsilon},\phi_2=k_0}$ as it should be expected. We also remark that this modified boundary supercharge has a different functional form from that was previously derived in [12], namely,

$$\Sigma_{1/2} = \frac{i}{2}\left[\frac{\alpha\sin\left(\frac{\phi-\phi_0}{2}\right)-4\sin(\frac{\phi}{2})}{f(\phi)}\right]a, \qquad (3.39)$$

where a is related with the fermionic degree of freedom f_1 used in this paper, and

$$f(\phi)=\frac{\sqrt{C}}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\phi-D}{4}\right), \qquad (3.40)$$

$$C=\sqrt{\alpha^2-8\alpha\cos\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2}\right)+16}, \qquad \tan\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha\sin\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2}\right)}{\alpha\cos\left(\frac{\phi_0}{2}\right)-4}. \qquad (3.41)$$

However, after performing the respective analytical continuation, namely,

$$\phi \longrightarrow 2i\phi_1, \qquad \psi \longrightarrow 2i\psi_1, \qquad \bar{\psi} \longrightarrow -2i\bar{\psi}, \qquad a \longrightarrow 2\sqrt{2}if_1, \qquad k_0 \longrightarrow -ik_0, \tag{3.42}$$

it is possible to show the equivalence of both results by the following identification,

$$C = 4\left(\cos k_0 + \cosh\eta\right), \qquad D = 4\,\arctan\left[-\coth\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\cot\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\right], \tag{3.43}$$

$$\alpha = -2\Lambda, \qquad f(\phi)\Big|_{\phi=2i\phi_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,h(\phi_1), \qquad \Sigma_{1/2} = 2iQ_B. \tag{3.44}$$

4 Bäcklund Solutions

We will present in this section soliton solutions for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ SShG based upon the results obtained in [26]. Consider the bosonic superfield written in components as

$$\Phi = \phi + \theta_1\bar{\psi} + i\theta_2\psi - i\theta_1\theta_2\sinh\phi, \tag{4.1}$$

where θ_1, θ_2 are Grassmannian variables. Then, starting from a vacuum configuration $\Phi_0 = 0$, the one-soliton solution has the form

$$\phi^{(k)} = 2\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[a_k e^{\Gamma_k}\right], \qquad \Gamma_k = (\sigma_k + \sigma_k^{-1})x + (\sigma_k - \sigma_k^{-1})t, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\bar{\psi}^{(k)} = 2\epsilon_k\left(\frac{b_k}{a_k}\right)\frac{a_k\,e^{\Gamma_k}}{1-(a_k\,e^{\Gamma_k})^2}, \qquad \psi^{(k)} = \frac{\bar{\psi}^{(k)}}{\sigma_k}, \tag{4.3}$$

where σ_k is the Bäcklund parameter, a_k, b_k are arbitrary parameters and ϵ_k being a Grassmannian parameter. The two-soliton solutions $\Phi^{(j,k)}$ is explicitly given in components by,

$$\phi^{(j,k)} = \varphi^{(j,k)} - f^{(j,k)}\bar{\psi}^{(j)}\bar{\psi}^{(k)} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\bar{\psi}^{(j,k)} = \xi^{(j,k)}\bar{\psi}^{(j)} + \xi^{(k,j)}\bar{\psi}^{(k)} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\psi^{(j,k)} = \eta^{(j,k)}\psi^{(j)} + \eta^{(k,j)}\psi^{(k)}, \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$\varphi^{(j,k)} = 2\,\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\delta_{jk}\tanh\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}-\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)\right], \qquad f^{(j,k)} = \frac{\Delta_{jk}}{4\sqrt{\sigma_j\sigma_k}}\left[\mathrm{sech}\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}}{2}\right)\mathrm{sech}\left(\frac{\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)\right], \tag{4.7}$$

$$\Omega_{jk} = \frac{\delta_{jk}\,\mathrm{sech}^2\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}-\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)}{1-\delta_{jk}^2\tanh^2\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}-\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)}, \qquad \Delta_{jk} = \frac{A_{jk}\sinh\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}-\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)}{B_{jk}-\sinh^2\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}-\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\xi^{(j,k)} = \Omega_{jk} + \frac{\Delta_{jk}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_j}}\,\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}}{2}\right)}, \qquad \eta^{(j,k)} = \Omega_{jk} - \frac{\Delta_{jk}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_k}}\,\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi^{(k)}}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi^{(j)}}{2}\right)}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\delta_{jk} = \frac{\sigma_j+\sigma_k}{\sigma_j-\sigma_k}, \qquad A_{jk} = \frac{\sigma_j+\sigma_k}{\sqrt{\sigma_j\sigma_k}}, \qquad B_{jk} = \frac{(\sigma_j-\sigma_k)^2}{4\,\sigma_j\sigma_k}. \tag{4.10}$$

It therefore follows that starting from the one-soliton solution $\Phi^{(2)}$ and the two-solition solutions $\Phi^{(1,2)}$ and $\Phi^{(2,3)}$, we can construct the three-soliton solution $\Phi_3 \equiv \Phi^{(1,2,3)}$ using appropriately the Bäcklund procedure as indicated in (A.1). Then the three-soliton solution $\Phi_3 \equiv \Phi^{(1,2,3)}$ can be written in components as follows,

$$\phi_3 = \phi^{(2)} + 2\mathrm{Arctanh}\,(\delta_{13}\tanh\tau_3) - \rho_{12}\bar{\psi}^{(1)}\bar{\psi}^{(2)} + \rho_{13}\bar{\psi}^{(1)}\bar{\psi}^{(3)} - \rho_{23}\bar{\psi}^{(2)}\bar{\psi}^{(3)}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\bar{\psi}_3 = \chi_1\bar{\psi}^{(1)} + \chi_2\bar{\psi}^{(2)} + \chi_3\bar{\psi}^{(3)} + \chi_4(\bar{\psi}^{(1)}\bar{\psi}^{(2)}\bar{\psi}^{(3)}), \tag{4.12}$$

$$\psi_3 = \mu_1\psi^{(1)} + \mu_2\psi^{(2)} + \mu_3\psi^{(3)} + \mu_4(\psi^{(1)}\psi^{(2)}\psi^{(3)}), \tag{4.13}$$

where for convenience we have defined $\tau_3 = (\varphi^{(1,2)} - \varphi^{(2,3)})/2$, and the expressions for ρ_{ij}, χ_i and μ_i are explicitly given in the appendix A.

5 Classical analysis of the solutions in the half line

5.1 One-soliton solution

In contrast with the sine-Gordon model which has a degenerated vacuum, the sinh-Gordon counterpart has only one vacuum which satisfy

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}\phi(x,t) = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Let us consider a ground state realized by a static bulk one-soliton ($\sigma_1 = 1$) for $x < 0$ with the following form,

$$\phi(x) = 2\mathrm{Arctanh}[a\,e^{2x}]. \tag{5.2}$$

Then, the boundary condition (3.27) determines the parameter a in terms of the boundary parameters σ and k_0 as follows,

$$a = \left[\tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\right]^{\mp 1}, \tag{5.3}$$

where we have defined $\sigma = e^{-\eta}$ and the power ∓ 1 depends on the signal of the term $|\sinh k_0 \sinh\eta|$. We can notice that this result has already been found for the case of sine-Gordon [27], where the authors studied the bound state spectrum of the model with the integrable boundary condition.

Now consider the static bulk one-soliton solution for the fermionic fields,

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \left[\frac{2\,b\,e^{2x}}{1-a^2e^{4x}}\right]\epsilon, \qquad \psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x), \tag{5.4}$$

where ϵ is the Grassmannian parameter. From the boundary condition (3.28) we immediately get,

$$b = 0, \qquad \text{or} \qquad h(\phi) = \sqrt{\sigma}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1 + k_0}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1 - k_0}{2}\right) = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

which implies that,

$$b = 0, \qquad \text{or} \qquad a = \left[\tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\right]^{-1}. \tag{5.6}$$

The first contraint reduces the problem to the purely bosonic case. From the second constraint, we can note that the boundary conditions coming from the fermionic part are removing the ambiguity of the power and determining completely the relation between the parameter a and the boundary parameter σ and k_0. In other words, this ambiguity is solved with the use of the discrete (*duality*) symmetry for the fermionic fields. However, as in the bulk sinh-Gordon model the energy for the one-soliton solution does not change because of any fermionic contribution.

5.2 Breather Solutions

The breather solution is obtained by taking a two-soliton solution with the appropriate choice of the parameters. Let us consider the bosonic part of the two-soliton solution

$$\varphi^{(1,2)} = 2\text{Arctanh}\left[\left(\frac{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}\right)\frac{a_1e^{\Gamma_1}-a_2e^{\Gamma_2}}{1-a_1a_2e^{\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2}}\right], \tag{5.7}$$

where $\Gamma_i = \left(\sigma_i+\sigma_i^{-1}\right)x + \left(\sigma_i-\sigma_i^{-1}\right)t$. An appropriate choice of the parameters is the following,

$$\sigma_1 = e^{i\zeta}, \quad \sigma_2^* = \sigma_1, \quad a_1 = -ie^{\alpha_1}, \quad a_2 = a_1^*. \tag{5.8}$$

From these, we get $\Gamma_1^* = \Gamma_2$, with

$$\Gamma_1 = \kappa x + i\omega t, \qquad \kappa = 2\cos\zeta, \qquad \omega = 2\sin\zeta, \qquad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \zeta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{5.9}$$

Then, we found the following form for the breather solution,

$$\varphi^{(1,2)} = 2\text{Arctanh}\left[\frac{\sqrt{4-\omega^2}}{\omega}\frac{\cos\omega t}{\sinh(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\right]. \tag{5.10}$$

Notice that it can also be rewritten as,

$$\varphi^{(1,2)} = \ln\left[\frac{1-e^{4x\cos\zeta+2\alpha_1}-\frac{2e^{\alpha_1}}{\tan\zeta}\cos(2t\sin\zeta)e^{2x\cos\zeta}}{1-e^{4x\cos\zeta+2\alpha_1}+\frac{2e^{\alpha_1}}{\tan\zeta}\cos(2t\sin\zeta)e^{2x\cos\zeta}}\right]. \tag{5.11}$$

The form of the breather solution (5.11) has been already used for investigating the energy spectrum of the boundary states [23]. Now, we will investigate the constraints that the boundary condition impose over the parameter. So, for the bosonic part we have,

$$\partial_x\varphi^{(1,2)}\big|_{x=0} = \left[\Lambda_+\sinh\varphi^{(1,2)}+\Lambda_-\cosh\varphi^{(1,2)}\right]\Big|_{x=0}, \tag{5.12}$$

with

$$\Lambda_+ \;=\; -\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\cosh k_0, \qquad \Lambda_- \;=\; \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\sigma\right)\sinh k_0. \tag{5.13}$$

By evaluating the breather solution (5.11) in the boundary condition (5.12), we found that

$$\Lambda_- \;=\; 0, \qquad \Lambda_+ = -\frac{2\cos\zeta}{\tanh\alpha_1}. \tag{5.14}$$

From these constraints we immediately find that

$$\cos\zeta = \begin{cases} \pm(\cosh k_0)(\tanh\alpha_1), & \text{if} \quad \sigma=\pm1, \\ \frac{(-1)^n}{2}\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)\tanh\alpha_1, & \text{if} \quad k_0 = in\pi, \quad n=0,1,2,\dots \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

Now, we consider the fermionic contribution to the bosonic solution (4.4), namely $f^{(1,2)}$ given in (4.7), which takes the following form

$$f^{(1,2)} \;=\; -\frac{i\, e^{2x\cos\zeta+\alpha_1}\cos\zeta\cos(2t\sin\zeta)\left[\cos(4t\sin\zeta)+\cosh(4x\cos\zeta+2\alpha_1)\right]}{[1-\cosh(2\alpha_1+4x\cos\zeta)]+\cos^2\zeta\,[\cos(4t\sin\zeta)+\cosh(2\alpha_1+4x\cos\zeta)]}. \tag{5.16}$$

The boundary condition for this term of the solution (4.4) can be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x\Big[f^{(1,2)}\bar\psi^{(1)}\bar\psi^{(2)}\Big]_{x=0} \;=\; & -\Big[\big(\sigma\cosh(\varphi^{(1,2)}+k_0)+\sigma^{-1}\cosh(\varphi^{(1,2)}-k_0)\big)f^{(1,2)}\bar\psi^{(1)}\bar\psi^{(2)}\Big]_{x=0} \\ & -\Big[H(\varphi^{(1,2)})\big(e^{-i\zeta}\eta^{(1,2)}\xi^{(2,1)}-e^{i\zeta}\eta^{(2,1)}\xi^{(1,2)}\big)\bar\psi^{(1)}\bar\psi^{(2)}\Big]_{x=0}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

where the form for the functions $H(\varphi^{(1,2)})$, $\eta^{(j,k)}$ and $\zeta^{(j,k)}$ are given in (3.30) and (4.9) respectively. In addition, the ϵ_j-projection of the fermionic boundary condition (3.28) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\Big[\big(\sigma_j^{-1}\eta^{(j,k)}-\xi^{(j,k)}\big)\bar\psi^{(j)}\Big]_{x=0} \;=\; & \Big[\frac{1}{2}H(\varphi^{(1,2)})\,\partial_t\varphi^{(1,2)}\big(\sigma_j^{-1}\eta^{(j,k)}-\xi^{(j,k)}\big)\bar\psi^{(j)} \\ & +h^2(\varphi^{(1,2)})\big(\sigma_j^{-1}\eta^{(j,k)}+\xi^{(j,k)}\big)\bar\psi^{(j)}\Big]_{x=0}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

for $j=1,2$. From the conditions (5.17) and (5.18) we get additional constraints, namely

- (i) If $\sigma=+1$, then $k_0=(2n+1)i\pi$ with $n=0,1,\ldots$, which implies that $\cos\zeta=-\tanh\alpha_1$. However, $\zeta=\frac{n\pi}{2}$ does not satisfy the conditions (5.17) and (5.18), and therefore $\cos\zeta$ cannot take the values $\{0,\pm1\}$.
- (ii) If $\sigma=-1$, then $k_0=2ni\pi$ with $n=0,1,\ldots$, which implies again that $\cos\zeta=-\tanh\alpha_1$ and respectively $\zeta\neq\frac{n\pi}{2}$.

Considering these results, the boundary breather at $x = 0$ can be written as follows,

$$\begin{align}
\varphi^{(1,2)}(0,t) &= -2\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\cos\left(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1\right)\right], \tag{5.19}\\
f^{(1,2)}(0,t) &= -\frac{i}{2}e^{\alpha_1}\tanh\alpha_1\cot(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\csc(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\left(\cosh 2\alpha_1+\cos(4t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\right), \tag{5.20}\\
\psi^{(1,2)}(0,t) &= -\left[\frac{\epsilon_1 b_1(e^{\alpha_1}+i)}{e^{\alpha_1}(e^{\alpha_1}-i)}\right]\left(1+(e^{\alpha_1}-i)\cot(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\right)\csc(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1) \tag{5.21}\\
&\quad-\left[\frac{\epsilon_2 b_2(e^{\alpha_1}-i)}{e^{\alpha_1}(e^{\alpha_1}+i)}\right]\left(1+(e^{\alpha_1}+i)\cot(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\right)\csc(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1), \tag{5.22}\\
\bar{\psi}^{(1,2)}(0,t) &= -\left[\epsilon_1 b_1 e^{-\alpha_1}\left((e^{\alpha_1}+i)\cot(2t\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)-1\right)\csc(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\right] \nonumber\\
&\quad+\left[\epsilon_2 b_2 e^{-\alpha_1}\left((e^{\alpha_1}-i)\cot(2t\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)-1\right)\csc(2t\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha_1)\right]. \tag{5.23}
\end{align}$$

5.3 Method of Images: Bosonic part

In [9] the classical and semi-classical soliton reflection on the boundary at $x = 0$ for the boundary sine-Gordon model were investigated by using the method of images [10]. To do that, it is necessary to consider the three-soliton solution describing an incoming soliton, an outgoing soliton and a stationary soliton at the origin, choosing the rapidity parameters as follows,

$$\sigma_1 = e^{\theta}, \quad \sigma_2 = e^{-\theta}, \quad \sigma_3 = 1, \tag{5.24}$$

to construct the appropriate three-soliton solution as follows,

$$\varphi_3(x,t) = 2\,\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\frac{N(x,t)}{D(x,t)}\right], \tag{5.25}$$

with

$$\begin{align}
N(x,t) &= \left[\frac{\cosh\theta}{1-\cosh\theta}\right]e^{2x\cosh\theta}\,F(t)-\left[\frac{1}{\tanh^2\theta}\right]e^{2x-\beta}-e^{2x(2\cosh\theta+1)-(\alpha+\beta)}, \tag{5.26}\\
D(x,t) &= 1+\left[\frac{1}{\tanh^2\theta}\right]e^{4x\cosh\theta-\alpha}-\left[\frac{\cosh\theta}{1-\cosh\theta}\right]e^{2x(\cosh\theta+1)-\beta}\,F(t). \tag{5.27}
\end{align}$$

where we have defined

$$F(t) = e^{2t\sinh\theta-\alpha_1}+e^{-2t\sinh\theta-\alpha_2}, \qquad a_i = e^{-\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha = \alpha_1+\alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \alpha_3. \tag{5.28}$$

This solution describes an incoming right-moving soliton at $t \to -\infty$ and an outgoing soliton at $t \to \infty$. To see that explicitly, we take $x \to -\infty$ and $t \to -\infty$ with the phase $2(x\cosh\theta + t\sinh\theta)$ fixed, leading to

$$\varphi_3 \longrightarrow 2\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\left(\frac{\cosh\theta}{1-\cosh\theta}\right)e^{2(x\cosh\theta+t\sinh\theta)-\alpha_1}\right], \tag{5.29}$$

and when we take $x \to -\infty$ and $t \to \infty$ we obtain,

$$\varphi_3 \longrightarrow 2\text{Arctanh}\left[\left(\frac{\cosh\theta}{1-\cosh\theta}\right)\, e^{2(x\cosh\theta - t\sinh\theta)-\alpha_2}\right]. \tag{5.30}$$

Then, we have a reflected soliton with an opposite velocity and a phase delay $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ when $t \to \infty$. Now, this phase delay can be computed using the boundary condition. For the three-soliton solution we can decompose the boundary condition (3.27) in four boundary equations. The first one corresponds to the bosonic part, which can be written as

$$\partial_x\varphi_3 \;=\; -\sigma\sinh(\varphi_3 + k_0) - \frac{1}{\sigma}\sinh(\varphi_3 - k_0). \tag{5.31}$$

Substituting (5.25) into (5.31), we get

$$\left[(\partial_x N)D - N(\partial_x D)\right]\Big|_{x=0} \;=\; \left[\Lambda_+(ND) + \frac{\Lambda_-}{2}\left(N^2 + D^2\right)\right]\Bigg|_{x=0}, \tag{5.32}$$

with

$$\Lambda_+ \;=\; -2\cosh\eta\cosh k_0, \qquad \Lambda_- \;=\; 2\sinh\eta\sinh k_0. \tag{5.33}$$

where $\sigma = e^{-\eta}$. Then, the ansatz proposed in [9] is used to solve the equation (5.32), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x N\Big|_{x=0} &= \left[c_1 N + c_2 D\right]\Big|_{x=0}, \\ \partial_x D\Big|_{x=0} &= \left[c_3 N + c_4 D\right]\Big|_{x=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

From this we find that $c_2 = -c_3 = \frac{\Lambda_-}{2}$, and $c_1 - c_4 = \Lambda_+$. By computing each constants c_i, we obtain

$$\cosh\eta\cosh k_0 \;=\; -\cosh\theta\left[\frac{1-\coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)e^{-\alpha} + \coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)e^{-2\beta}\left(1 - e^{-\alpha}\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right)}{1+\coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)e^{-\alpha} - \coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)e^{-2\beta}\left(1 + e^{-\alpha}\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right)}\right], \tag{5.35}$$

$$\sinh\eta\sinh k_0 \;=\; \frac{2\,e^{-\beta}\left(1+\cosh\theta\right)\left(1-e^{-\alpha}\right)}{1+\coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)e^{-\alpha} - \coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)e^{-2\beta}\left(1 + e^{-\alpha}\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right)}. \tag{5.36}$$

From the above relations we can find that the “position” parameter of the stationary soliton is given by

$$\cosh\beta \;=\; \frac{1-\cosh\eta\cosh k_0}{\sinh\eta\sinh k_0}. \tag{5.37}$$

Then,

$$\sinh\beta \;=\; \pm\left[\frac{\cosh k_0 - \cosh\eta}{\sinh\eta\sinh k_0}\right], \qquad \text{and} \quad e^{-\beta} \;=\; -\left[\frac{\tanh\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)}{\tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)}\right]^{\pm 1} \equiv a_3^{\pm 1}. \tag{5.38}$$

Using the above results we find that the phase delay α can be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \ln\left[\frac{\left(\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)-\tanh^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right)\left(1-\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\tanh^2\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\right)}{\left(\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)-\tanh^2\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\right)\left(1-\tanh^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\tanh^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right)}\right]^{\pm 1}\\
&= \ln\left[\frac{\tanh\left(\frac{\theta+\eta}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{\theta-\eta}{2}\right)}{\tanh\left(\frac{\theta+k_0}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{\theta-k_0}{2}\right)}\right]^{\pm 1}\\
&= \ln\left[\frac{(\cosh k_0+\cosh\theta)(\cosh\eta-\cosh\theta)}{(\cosh k_0-\cosh\theta)(\cosh\eta+\cosh\theta)}\right]^{\pm 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

As it was noticed in [9], the ± 1 power comes by solving a quadratic equation in (5.36), or equivalently in our case, from solving the square root to find $\sinh\beta$. In addtion, the argument of the logarithm in (5.39) is positive only in the cases when $\theta > k_0$ and $\theta > \eta$, or $\theta < k_0$ and $\theta < \eta$. Then, there is a forbidden domain for the rapidity θ consisting of all the values in the interval between η and k_0.

Finally, it is worth discussing boundary breathers. To do that, it is convenient to choose the parameters in following way,

$$\sigma_1 = e^{i\zeta}, \quad \sigma_2^* = \sigma_1, \quad \sigma_3 = 1, \quad a_1 = -ie^{\alpha_1}, \quad a_2 = a_1^*, \quad a_3 = e^{-\beta}, \tag{5.40}$$

which implies again,

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2^* = \kappa x + i\omega t, \qquad \Gamma_3 = 2x, \qquad \kappa = 2\cos\zeta, \quad \omega = 2\sin\zeta, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \le \zeta \le \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{5.41}$$

By substituting in the bosonic three-soliton solution we get the following form for the breather solution,

$$\varphi_B = 2\text{Arctanh}\left[\frac{2\kappa e^{\alpha_1+\kappa x}\sin\omega t + e^{2x}\coth\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\left((\kappa-2)e^{2(\alpha_1+\kappa x)}+(\kappa+2)\right)}{2\kappa\coth\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)e^{\alpha_1+(\kappa+2)x}\sin\omega t + (\kappa+2)e^{2(\alpha_1+\kappa x)}+(\kappa-2)}\right], \tag{5.42}$$

where,

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left[\frac{(\cosh k_0-\cos\zeta)(\cosh\eta+\cos\zeta)}{(\cosh k_0+\cos\zeta)(\cosh\eta-\cos\zeta)}\right], \tag{5.43}$$

for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \zeta < i\eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. In the limit $\zeta \to i\eta$, we obtain the ground state configuration (5.2), namely

$$\varphi_B \to 2\text{Arctanh}\left[e^{2x}\tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{k_0}{2}\right)\right]. \tag{5.44}$$

5.4 Method of Images: Fermionic part

Now, we study the fermionic part of the three-soliton solution. Let us first describe the situation with an ingoing and outgoing solitons where the fermionic parameters satisfy in particular that $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ without loss of generality, and a stationary soliton at the origin described by the Grassmannian parameter ϵ_3 being independent of the incoming and outgoing solitons. From the ϵ_3-component of eq. (3.27) we obtain,

$$b_3\left[\partial_t\left(\frac{\mu_3-\chi_3}{h(\varphi_3)}\right)-h(\varphi_3)\,(\mu_3+\chi_3)\right]=0. \tag{5.45}$$

In the case of $b_3\neq 0$, the above relation give us the following constraints on the parameter,

$$k_0=\pm\eta \quad\Longrightarrow\quad a_3=\pm 1,\quad (a_1a_2)=1, \tag{5.46}$$

but it is inconsistent with the fermionic part of the stationary soliton solution, namely

$$\bar{\psi}^{(3)}=2\epsilon_3\left(\frac{b_3}{a_3}\right)\frac{(a_3e^{2x})}{1-(a_3e^{2x})^2}\Big|_{x=0,\;a_3=\pm1}\longrightarrow\infty. \tag{5.47}$$

and also with the bosonic solution,

$$\varphi_3(0,t)=2\text{Arctanh}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{\cosh\theta}{1-\cosh\theta}\right)F(t)-a_3(1+\coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right))}{\left(1+\coth^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right)-\left(\frac{\cosh\theta}{1-\cosh\theta}\right)a_3\,F(t)}\right]_{a_3=\pm1}\longrightarrow\mp\infty. \tag{5.48}$$

Then, a possible solution consistent with the bosonic boundary condition $\varphi_3\big|_{x=0}=k_0$, requires that $b_3=0$. It remains to determine the relation between the ingoing and outgoing soliton parameters b_1 and b_2. Then, the three-soliton solution take the following form,

$$\psi_3=\epsilon\left(\mu_1\psi^{(1)}+\mu_2\psi^{(2)}\right), \tag{5.49}$$

$$\bar{\psi}_3=\epsilon\left(\chi_1\bar{\psi}^{(1)}+\chi_2\bar{\psi}^{(2)}\right). \tag{5.50}$$

where the functions μ_k and χ_k are given in the appendix A. Taking the ϵ-projection of the eq. (3.28), we find the equation

$$\partial_t\left(\frac{\psi_3-\bar{\psi}_3}{h(\varphi_3)}\right)-h(\varphi_3)\left(\psi_3+\bar{\psi}_3\right)=0. \tag{5.51}$$

Then after a long computation we find the following relation holds,

$$\left(\frac{b_2}{a_2}\right)=-e^{-\theta}\left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}\right). \tag{5.52}$$

This relation reflects the symmetry used to derive the fermionic boundary potential, i.e. $\psi_2(x,t)\to-\psi_1(-x,t)$, and remembering that,

$$\bar{\psi}^{(k)}=\sigma_k\psi^{(k)}\;=\;e^{\theta_k}\,\psi^{(k)}. \tag{5.53}$$

Now, let us consider a different situation where $\epsilon_1\neq\epsilon_2$ but $\epsilon_3=\nu_1\epsilon_1+\nu_2\epsilon_2$, where $\{\nu_k\}_{k=1,2}$ are real arbitrary constants. Then, taking the ϵ_k-projection of (3.28), namely

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\left[\bar{\psi}^{(k)}\left(\frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k}-\chi_k\right)+\bar{\psi}^{(3)}\left(c_k\mu_3-\chi_3\right)\right]\;=\;&\left[\frac{H(\varphi_3)(\partial_t\varphi_3)(\mu_k-\sigma_k\chi_k)+2h^2(\varphi_3)(\mu_k+\sigma_k\chi_k)}{2\sigma_k}\right]\bar{\psi}^{(k)}\\&+\left[\frac{H(\varphi_3)(\partial_t\varphi_3)(c_k\mu_3-\chi_3)+2h^2(\varphi_3)(c_k\mu_3+\chi_3)}{2}\right]\bar{\psi}^{(3)},\end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

where $k = 1, 2$, we find that $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 1$ and the fermionic parameters of the stationary soliton satisfy the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{b_3^+}{a_3^+}\right) &= \left[\frac{\cosh\theta + \cosh\eta}{(1+e^\theta)(1+\cosh\eta)}\right]\left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}\right), \quad \text{or} && (5.55)\\ \left(\frac{b_3^-}{a_3^-}\right) &= \left[\frac{\cosh\theta + \cosh k_0}{(1+e^\theta)(1+\cosh k_0)}\right]\left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}\right), && (5.56)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{b_3^+}{a_3^+}\right) &= \left[\frac{e^\theta\,(\cosh\theta + \cosh\eta)}{(1+e^\theta)(1+\cosh\eta)}\right]\left(\frac{b_2}{a_2}\right), \quad \text{or} && (5.57)\\ \left(\frac{b_3^-}{a_3^-}\right) &= \left[\frac{e^\theta\,(\cosh\theta + \cosh k_0)}{(1+e^\theta)(1+\cosh k_0)}\right]\left(\frac{b_2}{a_2}\right), && (5.58)\end{aligned}$$

which imply that the following relation between the amplitudes of the ingoing and outgoing soliton parameters is always satisfied,

$$\left(\frac{b_2}{a_2}\right) = e^{-\theta}\left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}\right). \tag{5.59}$$

Finally, it is worth examining what form the fermionic part of the solution takes when we are considering boundary breathers on the half-line. To do that in the present setting, it is natural to set $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$, and considering the choice of parameters in (5.40) for the bosonic part and the relation (5.52), we find that $b_2 = e^{-i\zeta}\, b_1$. Now, the "fermionic boundary breathers" take the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_3 &= \epsilon_1 b_1\left[\frac{u_0(x) + u_1(x)\cos(\omega t) + u_{-1}(x)\sin(\omega t) + u_2(x)\cos(2\omega t)}{v_0(x) + v_1(x)\sin(\omega t) + v_2(x)\cos(2\omega t)}\right], && (5.60)\\ \bar{\psi}_3 &= -\epsilon_1 b_1\left[\frac{u_0(x) - u_1(x)\cos(\omega t) + u_{-1}(x)\sin(\omega t) + u_2(x)\cos(2\omega t)}{v_0(x) + v_1(x)\sin(\omega t) + v_2(x)\cos(2\omega t)}\right], && (5.61)\end{aligned}$$

where the x-dependent coefficients are listed down,

$$\begin{aligned}u_0(x) &= -96\, i\, e^{(\alpha_1+\beta)}\, e^{2i\zeta} \cos^2\zeta\, e^{2(\kappa x+1)}, && (5.62)\\ u_1(x) &= -4ie^{\kappa x}\, e^{i\zeta}\sin(2\zeta)\left[e^{4x}\big((1+e^{i\zeta})^2 + (1-e^{i\zeta})^2 e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\big)\right.\\ &\qquad \left. - e^{2\beta}\big((1-e^{i\zeta})^2 + (1+e^{i\zeta})^2 e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\big)\right], && (5.63)\\ u_{-1}(x) &= 4i\, e^{\kappa x}\cos\zeta\left[e^{4x}\big((1+e^{i\zeta})^4 - (1-e^{i\zeta})^4 e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\big)\right.\\ &\qquad \left. -e^{2\beta}\big((1-e^{i\zeta})^4 - (1+e^{i\zeta})^4 e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\big)\right], && (5.64)\\ u_2(x) &= 32\, i\, e^{\alpha_1+\beta}\, e^{2i\zeta}\cos^2\zeta\, e^{2(\kappa+1)x}, && (5.65)\\ v_0(x) &= (e^{i\zeta}-1)\left[e^{2\beta}\big((e^{i\zeta}-1)^4 + e^{4(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}(1+e^{i\zeta})^4 - 8e^{2i\zeta}\, e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\big)\right.\\ &\qquad \left. -e^{4x}\big((1+e^{i\zeta})^4 + e^{4(x\kappa+\alpha_1)}(e^{i\zeta}-1)^4 - 8e^{2i\zeta}\, e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}\big)\right], && (5.66)\\ v_1(x) &= -64\, e^\beta\, e^{2i\zeta}(e^{i\zeta}-1)\cos\zeta\, e^{2((\kappa+1)x+\alpha_1)}\sinh(\kappa x+\alpha_1), && (5.67)\\ v_2(x) &= -8\, e^{2i\zeta}(e^{i\zeta}-1)\cos^2\zeta\, e^{2(\kappa x+\alpha_1)}(e^{4x}-e^{2\beta}). && (5.68)\end{aligned}$$

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have investigated the necessary conditions satisfied by the boundary parameters to preserve both integrability and supersymmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SShG model in the half line, by applying a limiting procedure to its corresponding defect theory.

The three-soliton solution for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SShG model has been constructed by employing the Bäcklund transformation, and then used to perform a classical analysis in the half line. Explicit expressions for boundary breathers solutions have been presented as well as the relations satisfied by the respective boundary parameters.

It would be interesting to derive the three-soliton solutions for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SShG model by extending the procedure proposed in [28], in order to investigate the model on a half line. This problem will be addressed in future developments.

Acknowledgements

ARA would like to thank to FAPESP São Paulo Research Foundation for financial support under the PD fellowship 2012/13866-3. JFG and AHZ thank CNPq for financial support.

A Three-soliton solution

The three-soliton solution $\Phi_3 \equiv \Phi^{(1,2,3)}$ for the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SShG can be constructed as indicated in the Bianchi diagram,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Phi^{(1)} \arrow[dr, "{\sigma_2,\, F^{(1,2)}_{(1)}}"] & & \\
\Phi_0 \arrow[ur, "{\sigma_1,\, F^{(1)}_{(0)}}"] \arrow[dr, "{\sigma_2,\, F^{(2)}_{(0)}}"] & & \Phi^{(1,2)} \arrow[dr, "{\sigma_3,\, F^{(1,2,3)}_{(1,2)}}"] & \\
 & \Phi^{(2)} \arrow[ur, "{\sigma_1,\, F^{(1,2)}_{(2)}}"'] \arrow[dr, "{\sigma_3,\, F^{(2,3)}_{(2)}}"'] & & \Phi^{(1,2,3)} \\
\Phi_0 \arrow[ur, "{\sigma_2,\, F^{(2)}_{(0)}}"] \arrow[dr, "{\sigma_3,\, F^{(3)}_{(0)}}"'] & & \Phi^{(2,3)} \arrow[ur, "{\sigma_1,\, F^{(1,2,3)}_{(2,3)}}"'] & \\
 & \Phi^{(3)} \arrow[ur, "{\sigma_2,\, F^{(2,3)}_{(3)}}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A.1}$$

by using appropriately the Bäcklund transformations,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\bar z}(\Phi_0 - \Phi) &= -\sqrt{2\sigma}\, F \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi}{2}\right), && \text{(A.2)}\\
D_z(\Phi_0 + \Phi) &= i\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sigma}}\, F \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 - \Phi}{2}\right), && \text{(A.3)}\\
D_{\bar z} F &= \sqrt{2\sigma}\sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi}{2}\right), && \text{(A.4)}\\
D_z F &= i\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sigma}}\sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 - \Phi}{2}\right), && \text{(A.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

where F is an auxiliary fermionic superfield, σ the Bäcklund parameter, and the superderivatives given by

$$D_{\bar z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + \theta_1\partial_{\bar z}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad D_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} + \theta_2\partial_z. \tag{A.6}$$

Here, we have used the light-cone coordinates $z = x - t$, $\bar z = x + t$, $\partial_{\bar z} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + \partial_t)$ and $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - \partial_t)$. Then, the equations of motion (2.7) can be written in the superfield notation as,

$$D_{\bar z} D_z \Phi = i \sinh\Phi. \tag{A.7}$$

So starting from the vacuum configuration $\Phi_0 = 0$, the three-soliton solution Φ_3 can be written in components is the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_3 &= \phi^{(2)} + 2\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\delta_{13}\tanh\tau_3\right] - \rho_{12}\bar\psi^{(1)}\bar\psi^{(2)} + \rho_{13}\bar\psi^{(1)}\bar\psi^{(3)} - \rho_{23}\bar\psi^{(2)}\bar\psi^{(3)}, && \text{(A.8)}\\
\bar\psi_3 &= \chi_1\bar\psi^{(1)} + \chi_2\bar\psi^{(2)} + \chi_3\bar\psi^{(3)} + \chi_4(\bar\psi^{(1)}\bar\psi^{(2)}\bar\psi^{(3)}), && \text{(A.9)}\\
\psi_3 &= \mu_1\psi^{(1)} + \mu_2\psi^{(2)} + \mu_3\psi^{(3)} + \mu_4(\psi^{(1)}\psi^{(2)}\psi^{(3)}), && \text{(A.10)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $\tau_3 = (\varphi^{(1,2)} - \varphi^{(2,3)})/2$, and by introducing $\lambda_1^{\pm} = (\phi^{(2)} \pm \varphi^{(1,2)})/2$ and $\lambda_2^{\pm} = (\phi^{(2)} \pm \varphi^{(2,3)})/2$, we get

$$\rho_{12} = \left[\frac{\xi^{(1,2)}(\xi^{(2,3)} - 1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,\lambda_1^+\,\mathrm{sech}\,\lambda_2^+}{4\sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_3}}\right]\left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13} - \sinh^2\tau_3}\right] + \left[\frac{\delta_{13}\,\mathrm{sech}^2\tau_3\, f^{(1,2)}}{1 - \delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3}\right] \tag{A.11}$$

$$\rho_{13} = -\left[\frac{\xi^{(1,2)}\xi^{(3,2)}\,\mathrm{sech}\,\lambda_1^+\,\mathrm{sech}\,\lambda_2^+}{4\sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_3}}\right]\left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13} - \sinh^2\tau_3}\right] \tag{A.12}$$

$$\rho_{23} = \left[\frac{\xi^{(3,2)}(\xi^{(2,1)} - 1)\,\mathrm{sech}\,\lambda_1^+\,\mathrm{sech}\,\lambda_2^+}{4\sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_3}}\right]\left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13} - \sinh^2\tau_3}\right] - \left[\frac{\delta_{13}\,\mathrm{sech}^2\tau_3\, f^{(2,3)}}{1 - \delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3}\right], \tag{A.13}$$

$$\chi_1 = \left\{ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}}\, \frac{\sinh\lambda_2^+ \operatorname{sech}\lambda_1^+}{2} \right] \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] + \frac{\delta_{13}\operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3} \right\} \xi^{(1,2)}, \tag{A.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_2 = {} & 1 - \frac{\delta_{13}\operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3} \left(\xi^{(2,3)} - \xi^{(2,1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}} \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \sinh\lambda_2^+ \operatorname{sech}\lambda_1^+ \left(1-\xi^{(2,1)}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}} \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \sinh\lambda_1^+ \operatorname{sech}\lambda_2^+ \left(1-\xi^{(2,3)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

$$\chi_3 = -\left\{ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}} \frac{\sinh\lambda_1^+ \operatorname{sech}\lambda_2^+}{2} \right] \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] + \frac{\delta_{13}\operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3} \right\} \xi^{(3,2)} \tag{A.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_4 = {} & \left[\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}} \frac{A_{13}\operatorname{sech}\lambda_2^+}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \left[\frac{B_{13}\sinh(\lambda_1^+ + \tau_3) + \sinh^2\tau_3 \sinh(\lambda_1^+ - \tau_3)}{\left(B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3\right)} \right] \left(\xi^{(3,2)} f^{(1,2)} \right) \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}} \frac{A_{13}\operatorname{sech}\lambda_1^+}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \left[\frac{B_{13}\sinh(\lambda_2^+ - \tau_3) + \sinh^2\tau_3 \sinh(\lambda_2^+ + \tau_3)}{\left(B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3\right)} \right] \left(\xi^{(1,2)} f^{(2,3)} \right) \\ & - \left[\frac{\delta_{13}\left(1-\delta_{13}^2\right)\tanh\tau_3 \operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{\left(1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3\right)^2} \right] \left(\xi^{(1,2)} f^{(2,3)} + \xi^{(3,2)} f^{(1,2)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

$$\mu_1 = \left\{ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}} \frac{\sinh\lambda_2^- \operatorname{sech}\lambda_1^-}{2} \right] \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] + \frac{\delta_{13}\operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3} \right\} \eta^{(1,2)}, \tag{A.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 = {} & 1 - \frac{\delta_{13}\operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3} \left(\eta^{(2,3)} - \eta^{(2,1)} \right) - \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}} \frac{\sinh\lambda_1^- \operatorname{sech}\lambda_2^-}{2} \right] \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \left(1+\eta^{(2,3)}\right) \\ & + \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}} \frac{\sinh\lambda_2^- \operatorname{sech}\lambda_1^-}{2} \right] \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \left(1-\eta_2^{(1,2)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

$$\mu_3 = -\left\{ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}} \frac{\sinh\lambda_1^- \operatorname{sech}\lambda_2^-}{2} \right] \left[\frac{A_{13}\sinh\tau_3}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] + \frac{\delta_{13}\operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3} \right\} \eta^{(3,2)}, \tag{A.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_4 = {} & \left[\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}} \frac{A_{13}\operatorname{sech}\lambda_2^-}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \left[\frac{B_{13}\sinh(\lambda_1^- - \tau_3) + \sinh^2\tau_3 \sinh(\lambda_1^- + \tau_3)}{\left(B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3\right)} \right] \left(\eta^{(3,2)} f^{(1,2)} \right) \\ & - \left[\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_3}} \frac{A_{13}\operatorname{sech}\lambda_1^-}{B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3} \right] \left[\frac{B_{13}\sinh(\lambda_2^- + \tau_3) + \sinh^2\tau_3 \sinh(\lambda_2^- - \tau_3)}{\left(B_{13}-\sinh^2\tau_3\right)} \right] \left(\eta^{(1,2)} f^{(2,3)} \right) \\ & - \left[\frac{\delta_{13}\left(1-\delta_{13}^2\right)\tanh\tau_3 \operatorname{sech}^2\tau_3}{\left(1-\delta_{13}^2\tanh^2\tau_3\right)^2} \right] \left(\eta^{(1,2)} f^{(2,3)} + \eta^{(3,2)} f^{(1,2)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

References

[1] E.K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable equations*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **21** (1987) 164.

[2] E.K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, *J. Phys.* **A21** (1988) 2375.

[3] V.O. Tarasov, *The integrable initial-boundary value problem on a semiline: nonlinear Schrodinger and sine-Gordon equations*, *Inverse Problems* **7** (1991) 435.

[4] I.T. Habibullin, *The Bäcklund transformation and integrable initial boundary value problems*, *Mathematical Notes* **49** (1991) 418.

[5] I.T. Habibullin, *Integrable initial-boundary-value problems*, *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **86** (1991) 28.

[6] I.T. Habibullin, *Sine-Gordon equation on the semi-axis*, *Theor. and Math. Phys.* **114** (1998) 90.

[7] S. Ghoshal and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Boundary S-matrix and boundary state in two-dimensional integrable quantum field theory*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A9** (1994) 3841 [`hep-th/9306002`].

[8] P. Bowcock, E. Corrigan, P.E. Dorey and R.H. Rietdijk, *Classically integrable boundary conditions for affine Toda field theories*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B445** (1995) 469 [`hep-th/9501098`].

[9] H. Saleur, S. Skorik, N.P. Warner, *The boundary sine-Gordon theory: classical and semi-classical analysis*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B441** (1995) 421 [`hep-th/9408004`]. See also E. Corrigan and A. Taormina, *Reflection factors and a two-parameter family of boundary bound states in the sinh-Gordon model*, *J.Phys.* **A33** (2000) 8739 [`hep-th/0008237`].

[10] J. Cardy, *Conformal invariance and surface critical behavior*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B240** (1984) 514.

[11] T. Inami, S. Odake and Y.Z. Zhang, *Supersymmetric extension of the sine-Gordon theory with integrable boundary interactions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B359** (1995) 118 [`hep-th/9506157`].

[12] R.I. Nepomechie, *The boundary supersymmetric sine-Gordon model revisited*, *Phys. Lett.* **B509** (2001) 183 [`hep-th/0103029`].

[13] P. Bowcock, E. Corrigan and C. Zambon, *Classically integrable field theories with defects*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A19** (2004) 82 [`hep-th/0305022`].

[14] J. Avan and A. Doikou, *The sine-Gordon model with integrable defects revisited*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 008 [`hep-th/1205.1661`].

[15] J. Avan and A. Doikou, *The sine-Gordon model in the presence of defects*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **411** (2013) 012003 [`hep-th/1302.2456`].

[16] I. Habibullin and A. Kundu, *Quantum and classical integrable sine-Gordon model with defect*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B795** (2008) 549 [`hep-th/0709.4611`].

[17] J.F. Gomes, L.H. Ymai, and A.H. Zimerman, *Classical integrable super sinh-Gordon equation with defects*, *J. Phys. A : Math. Gen.* **39** (2006) 7471 [`hep-th/0601014`].

[18] J.F. Gomes, L.H. Ymai and A.H. Zimerman, *Integrablility of a Classical $N = 2$ Super Sinh-Gordon Model with Jump Defects*, *JHEP* **03** (2008) 001 [`hep-th/0710.1391`].

[19] A.R. Aguirre, J.F. Gomes, L.H. Ymai and A.H. Zimerman, *Thirring model with jump defect*, PoS ISFTG **2009** 031 (2009) [`nlin/0910.2888`].

[20] A.R. Aguirre, J.F. Gomes, L.H. Ymai and A.H. Zimerman, *Grassmanian and bosonic Thirring models with jump defects*, *JHEP* **02** (2011) 017 [`nlin/1012.1537`].

[21] A.R. Aguirre, T.R. Araujo, J.F. Gomes, and A.H. Zimerman, *Type-II Bäcklund transformations via gauge transformations*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 056 [`nlin/1110.1589`].

[22] A.R. Aguirre, *Inverse scattering approach for massive Thirring models with integrable type-II defects*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** (2012) 205205 [`arXiv:1111.5249`].

[23] E. Corrigan and G.W. Delius, *Boundary breathers in the sinh-Gordon model*, *J.Phys.* **A32** (1999) 8601 [`hep-th/9909145v1`].

[24] M. Chaichian and P. Kulish, *On the method of inverse scattering problem and Bäcklund transformations for supersymmetric equations*, *Phys. Lett* **B78** (1978) 413.

[25] R.M. Deleonardis, S.E. Trullinger and R.F. Wallis, *Theory of boundary effects on sine-Gordon solitons*, *J. Appl. Phys.* **51** (1980) 1211.

[26] J.F. Gomes, L.H. Ymai, and A.H. Zimerman, *Permutability of Bäcklund transformation for $N = 1$ supersymmetric sinh-Gordon*, *Phys. Lett.* **A373** (2009) 1401 [`arXiv:0902.2456`].

[27] Z. Bajnok, L. Palla, G. Takacs, G.Zs. Toth, *The spectrum of boundary states in sine-Gordon model with integrable boundary conditions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B622** (2002) 548 [`hep-th/0106070v5`].

[28] J.F. Gomes, L.H. Ymai, and A.H. Zimerman, *Permutability of Bäcklund transformations for $N = 2$ supersymmetric sine-Gordon*, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010) 033501 [`arXiv:0908.3651`].